CERC 2019

Presentation of solutions

December 3, 2019

Task: Find the minimum number of letters to be appended to make the string palindromic.

- Task: Find the minimum number of letters to be appended to make the string palindromic.
- Observation: We want to find the longest palindrome among all suffixes of the string and append the reversed prefix to the end of the string.

- Task: Find the minimum number of letters to be appended to make the string palindromic.
- Observation: We want to find the longest palindrome among all suffixes of the string and append the reversed prefix to the end of the string.
- We can iterate over all suffixes and check whether it is palindromic.
- The check could be done by multiple string matching algorithms.
- Examples: Hash, Z-function, Suffix Arrays, Manacher, Palindromic Trees, ...

- Task: Find the minimum number of letters to be appended to make the string palindromic.
- Observation: We want to find the longest palindrome among all suffixes of the string and append the reversed prefix to the end of the string.
- We can iterate over all suffixes and check whether it is palindromic.
- The check could be done by multiple string matching algorithms.
- Examples: Hash, Z-function, Suffix Arrays, Manacher, Palindromic Trees, ...
- Complexity depends on chosen algorithm: $\mathcal{O}(N)$ is achievable.

Task: Find the sum of divisor functions on a range.

Observation: The task can be splitted into two same tasks: Range(left,right) is equal to Range(1,right)-Range(1,left-1)

- Observation: The task can be splitted into two same tasks: Range(left,right) is equal to Range(1,right)-Range(1,left-1)
- ▶ Let Q be equal to [N]
- All numbers "lesser" than sqrt: $\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor$
- All numbers "greater" than sqrt: $\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor$

- Observation: The task can be splitted into two same tasks: Range(left,right) is equal to Range(1,right)-Range(1,left-1)
- ▶ Let Q be equal to [N]
- All numbers "lesser" than sqrt: $\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor$
- All numbers "greater" than sqrt: $\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor$
- Almost there, where is the mistake?

- Observation: The task can be splitted into two same tasks: Range(left,right) is equal to Range(1,right)-Range(1,left-1)
- Let Q be equal to [N]
- All numbers "lesser" than sqrt: $\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor$
- All numbers "greater" than sqrt: $\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor$
- Almost there, where is the mistake?
- ▶ We included all pairs lesser/equal to *Q* twice.

- Observation: The task can be splitted into two same tasks: Range(left,right) is equal to Range(1,right)-Range(1,left-1)
- ▶ Let Q be equal to [N]
- All numbers "lesser" than sqrt: $\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor$
- All numbers "greater" than sqrt: $\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor$
- Almost there, where is the mistake?
- ▶ We included all pairs lesser/equal to *Q* twice.
- $\blacktriangleright (2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor) \cdot Q^2$

- Observation: The task can be splitted into two same tasks: Range(left,right) is equal to Range(1,right)-Range(1,left-1)
- ▶ Let Q be equal to [N]
- All numbers "lesser" than sqrt: $\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor$
- All numbers "greater" than sqrt: $\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor$
- Almost there, where is the mistake?
- We included all pairs lesser/equal to Q twice.
- $\blacktriangleright (2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{Q} \lfloor \frac{N}{i} \rfloor) Q^2$
- Complexity is $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N})$

 Task: Find the number of normalised 123 patterns in sequence.

- Task: Find the number of normalised 123 patterns in sequence.
- ▶ There are 27 patterns which are not normalised (3³).

- Task: Find the number of normalised 123 patterns in sequence.
- There are 27 patterns which are not normalised (3^3) .
- BUT: There are only 13 of patterns after normalisation: 111,112,121,122,123,132,211,212,213,221,231,312,321

- Task: Find the number of normalised 123 patterns in sequence.
- There are 27 patterns which are not normalised (3^3) .
- BUT: There are only 13 of patterns after normalisation: 111,112,121,122,123,132,211,212,213,221,231,312,321
- ▶ BUT: There are nonly 5 patterns which are not isomorphic after **reverse** and ∞-value: 111,112,121,123,132

- Task: Find the number of normalised 123 patterns in sequence.
- There are 27 patterns which are not normalised (3^3) .
- BUT: There are only 13 of patterns after normalisation: 111,112,121,122,123,132,211,212,213,221,231,312,321
- ▶ BUT: There are nonly 5 patterns which are not isomorphic after **reverse** and ∞-value: 111,112,121,123,132
- ▶ 111: Simple frequence array.

- Task: Find the number of normalised 123 patterns in sequence.
- There are 27 patterns which are not normalised (3^3) .
- BUT: There are only 13 of patterns after normalisation: 111,112,121,122,123,132,211,212,213,221,231,312,321
- ► BUT: There are nonly 5 patterns which are not isomorphic after reverse and ∞-value: 111,112,121,123,132
- ▶ **111**: Simple frequence array.
- 123: Fix the 2 and check prefix minimum and suffix maximum.

- Task: Find the number of normalised 123 patterns in sequence.
- There are 27 patterns which are not normalised (3^3) .
- BUT: There are only 13 of patterns after normalisation: 111,112,121,122,123,132,211,212,213,221,231,312,321
- ► BUT: There are nonly 5 patterns which are not isomorphic after reverse and ∞-value: 111,112,121,123,132
- ▶ 111: Simple frequence array.
- 123: Fix the 2 and check prefix minimum and suffix maximum.
- 112: Combination of frequence array and suffix maximum.

- Task: Find the number of normalised 123 patterns in sequence.
- There are 27 patterns which are not normalised (3^3) .
- BUT: There are only 13 of patterns after normalisation: 111,112,121,122,123,132,211,212,213,221,231,312,321
- ► BUT: There are nonly 5 patterns which are not isomorphic after reverse and ∞-value: 111,112,121,123,132
- ▶ 111: Simple frequence array.
- 123: Fix the 2 and check prefix minimum and suffix maximum.
- 112: Combination of frequence array and suffix maximum.
- 121: Check maximum between first and last occurrence of each value.

- Task: Find the number of normalised 123 patterns in sequence.
- ▶ There are 27 patterns which are not normalised (3³).
- BUT: There are only 13 of patterns after normalisation: 111,112,121,122,123,132,211,212,213,221,231,312,321
- ► BUT: There are nonly 5 patterns which are not isomorphic after reverse and ∞-value: 111,112,121,123,132
- ▶ 111: Simple frequence array.
- 123: Fix the 2 and check prefix minimum and suffix maximum.
- 112: Combination of frequence array and suffix maximum.
- 121: Check maximum between first and last occurrence of each value.
- 132: Fix the 3 and do combination of prefix minimum and biggest lesser value in suffix.

- Task: Find the number of normalised 123 patterns in sequence.
- There are 27 patterns which are not normalised (3^3) .
- BUT: There are only 13 of patterns after normalisation: 111,112,121,122,123,132,211,212,213,221,231,312,321
- ► BUT: There are nonly 5 patterns which are not isomorphic after reverse and ∞-value: 111,112,121,123,132
- ▶ 111: Simple frequence array.
- 123: Fix the 2 and check prefix minimum and suffix maximum.
- 112: Combination of frequence array and suffix maximum.
- 121: Check maximum between first and last occurrence of each value.
- 132: Fix the 3 and do combination of prefix minimum and biggest lesser value in suffix.
- Complexity is $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$

▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.

- ▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.
- Lets Divide and Conquer the array by maximum.
- This could be efficiently done by some data structure: For example Segment Tree or Sparse Table.
- Now we can proccess all subarrays and multiply them by the maximum.

- ▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.
- Lets Divide and Conquer the array by *maximum*.
- This could be efficiently done by some data structure: For example Segment Tree or Sparse Table.
- Now we can proccess all subarrays and multiply them by the maximum.
- Now we have to find all blocks with the same GCD to left and to right: Then we can count the answer from all combinations.

- ▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.
- Lets Divide and Conquer the array by maximum.
- This could be efficiently done by some data structure: For example Segment Tree or Sparse Table.
- Now we can proccess all subarrays and multiply them by the maximum.
- Now we have to find all blocks with the same GCD to left and to right: Then we can count the answer from all combinations.
- Observation: There are at most $\mathcal{O}(\log MAX)$ such blocks.

- ▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.
- Lets Divide and Conquer the array by *maximum*.
- This could be efficiently done by some data structure: For example Segment Tree or Sparse Table.
- Now we can proccess all subarrays and multiply them by the maximum.
- Now we have to find all blocks with the same GCD to left and to right: Then we can count the answer from all combinations.
- Observation: There are at most $\mathcal{O}(\log MAX)$ such blocks.
- There are multiple methods to find the blocks for example:
 - Combination of previously stated Data Structure and Binary search.
 - Factorisation and searching for next.

- ▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.
- Lets Divide and Conquer the array by *maximum*.
- This could be efficiently done by some data structure: For example Segment Tree or Sparse Table.
- Now we can proccess all subarrays and multiply them by the maximum.
- Now we have to find all blocks with the same GCD to left and to right: Then we can count the answer from all combinations.
- Observation: There are at most $\mathcal{O}(\log MAX)$ such blocks.
- There are multiple methods to find the blocks for example:
 - Combination of previously stated Data Structure and Binary search.
 - Factorisation and searching for next.
- Complexity is $\mathcal{O}(N \log^3 MAX)$

K == S [Kiss]

K==S [Kiss]

Task: Find the number of strings of length N which do not contain any of given strings as a substring.

K==S [Kiss]

Observation: It can also be solved by computing the number of strings containing any of them as a substring. Then the solution is 26^N – ANS.

K==S [Kiss]

- Observation: It can also be solved by computing the number of strings containing any of them as a substring. Then the solution is 26^N – ANS.
- We have to find out the way to build all such strings.
K==S [Kiss]

- Observation: It can also be solved by computing the number of strings containing any of them as a substring. Then the solution is 26^N – ANS.
- We have to find out the way to build all such strings.
- This can be done by constructing the Aho-Corasick automaton.
- It wasn't needed to construct this automaton efficiently as there are at most Q = 100 characters involved (there will be ≈ Q states in the automaton).

K==S [Kiss]

- Then we figure out approach to solve the problem, though for much lower limit of N.
- We will use Dynamic Programming where the state configuration is combination of length of the string and the state of the automation that we're currently in.
- Time complexity of this approach is $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot Q)$.
- Too slow to fit the constraints of the problem · · · .

K==S [Kiss]

- Finally we need to optimize DP approach to fit in the time limit.
- ▶ We will do so by using Matrix Exponentiation.
- Similarly to the DP approach we will create the transition matrix and use its *N*-th power to compute the answer.
- Time complexity of this approach is $\mathcal{O}(L^3 \log N)$.

 Task: Compose a reordering of a string on four letters from fewest possible swaps.

- Task: Compose a reordering of a string on four letters from fewest possible swaps.
- Reformulation:
 - Directed graph on 4 vertices, edges with multiplicities.



Decompose the graph into maximum possible number of cycles.

- Task: Compose a reordering of a string on four letters from fewest possible swaps.
- Reformulation:
 - Directed graph on 4 vertices, edges with multiplicities.



- Decompose the graph into maximum possible number of cycles.
- Greedily start with 2-cycles, then 3-cycles and cover the rest with 4-cycles.
- Complexity is $\mathcal{O}(N)$.

Ponk Warshall 2-cycles



Then we can change the covering without decreasing the number of cycles.

Ponk Warshall 3-cycles

After covering 2-cycles, only one case remains



Only two directed 3-cycles (with one shared edge).



Task: Find the maximum binary AND which could be obtained as sequence of K numbers.

- Task: Find the maximum binary AND which could be obtained as sequence of K numbers.
- Observation: We can never get bigger answer from lesser bits than we would get if we achieve to persist a higher bit.

- Task: Find the maximum binary AND which could be obtained as sequence of K numbers.
- Observation: We can never get bigger answer from lesser bits than we would get if we achieve to persist a higher bit.
- This means we can greedily iterate from most significant bits and check, whether there are at least K of them in numbers we have:
- In case of YES: We reduce the set of numbers to those with this bit on and OR 2ⁱ with the answer.
- In case of NO: We simply go to another bit.

- Task: Find the maximum binary AND which could be obtained as sequence of K numbers.
- Observation: We can never get bigger answer from lesser bits than we would get if we achieve to persist a higher bit.
- This means we can greedily iterate from most significant bits and check, whether there are at least K of them in numbers we have:
- In case of YES: We reduce the set of numbers to those with this bit on and OR 2ⁱ with the answer.
- In case of NO: We simply go to another bit.
- Complexity is $\mathcal{O}(N \log MAX)$

► Task: Win a game of chockolate-eating against "AI".

- ► Task: Win a game of chockolate-eating against "AI".
- Observation: We can divide the game into four "independent" games – as for each side – played at once.

- ► Task: Win a game of chockolate-eating against "AI".
- Observation: We can divide the game into four "independent" games – as for each side – played at once.
- It can be transformed into a NIM game with four piles (while subtracting any number from a pile).

- ► Task: Win a game of chockolate-eating against "AI".
- Observation: We can divide the game into four "independent" games – as for each side – played at once.
- It can be transformed into a NIM game with four piles (while subtracting any number from a pile).
- The winability of game can be decided by XORing the sizes of state while.
- If the XOR is equal to 0 the state is lost.

- ► Task: Win a game of chockolate-eating against "AI".
- Observation: We can divide the game into four "independent" games – as for each side – played at once.
- It can be transformed into a NIM game with four piles (while subtracting any number from a pile).
- The winability of game can be decided by XORing the sizes of state while.
- If the XOR is equal to 0 the state is lost.
- Similary we can decide the next move:
- We have to take a number from a pile, such that after taking the number, the XOR will be 0.
- NOTE: It can be proven that it is always possible to find such move.

- ► Task: Win a game of chockolate-eating against "AI".
- Observation: We can divide the game into four "independent" games – as for each side – played at once.
- It can be transformed into a NIM game with four piles (while subtracting any number from a pile).
- The winability of game can be decided by XORing the sizes of state while.
- If the XOR is equal to 0 the state is lost.
- Similary we can decide the next move:
- We have to take a number from a pile, such that after taking the number, the XOR will be 0.
- NOTE: It can be proven that it is always possible to find such move.
- Complexity is O(N) (as the game could consist of O(N) moves.

 Task: Minimal number of edge reconnections to make a tree become a path.

 Task: Minimal number of edge reconnections to make a tree become a path.

• Lower bound: We have to get rid of all degrees larger than 2.

- Task: Minimal number of edge reconnections to make a tree become a path.
- Lower bound: We have to get rid of all degrees larger than 2.
- Procedure: Disconnect every such an edge and connect it to some leaf (it always exists).

- Task: Minimal number of edge reconnections to make a tree become a path.
- Lower bound: We have to get rid of all degrees larger than 2.
- Procedure: Disconnect every such an edge and connect it to some leaf (it always exists).
- Obviously we don't have to simulate the procedure!

 Task: Minimal number of edge reconnections to make a tree become a path.

- Lower bound: We have to get rid of all degrees larger than 2.
- Procedure: Disconnect every such an edge and connect it to some leaf (it always exists).
- Obviously we don't have to simulate the procedure!
- Simply count the number of reconnections (sum of all degrees higher than 2 minus 2).

 Task: Minimal number of edge reconnections to make a tree become a path.

- Lower bound: We have to get rid of all degrees larger than 2.
- Procedure: Disconnect every such an edge and connect it to some leaf (it always exists).
- Obviously we don't have to simulate the procedure!
- Simply count the number of reconnections (sum of all degrees higher than 2 minus 2).
- Complexity is $\mathcal{O}(N)$

 Task: For each induced subgraph count the number of components.

- Task: For each induced subgraph count the number of components.
- Observation (for $N = M = Q = 10^5$): The total number of edges among all subgraphs is at most $O(N\sqrt{N})$

- Task: For each induced subgraph count the number of components.
- Observation (for $N = M = Q = 10^5$): The total number of edges among all subgraphs is at most $O(N\sqrt{N})$
- ▶ Proof: Worst case if clique in each query. Note that we can't make clique with more than O(N) edges (by taking M as √N). There are at most √N such queries.

- Task: For each induced subgraph count the number of components.
- Observation (for $N = M = Q = 10^5$): The total number of edges among all subgraphs is at most $O(N\sqrt{N})$
- ▶ Proof: Worst case if clique in each query. Note that we can't make clique with more than O(N) edges (by taking M as √N). There are at most √N such queries.
- To build the graph we have to consider two cases:
 - 1. For each query of size M greater than \sqrt{N} , go through all edges and check, whether they are in the given set. # of checked edges: $\frac{N}{M}N < \frac{N}{\sqrt{N}}N < N\sqrt{N}$
 - 2. For each query of size M lesser/equal than \sqrt{N} , check for all pairs of vertices, whether there is an edge connecting them. # of checked edges: $\frac{N}{M}M^2 = NM \le N\sqrt{N}$

- Task: For each induced subgraph count the number of components.
- Observation (for $N = M = Q = 10^5$): The total number of edges among all subgraphs is at most $O(N\sqrt{N})$
- ▶ Proof: Worst case if clique in each query. Note that we can't make clique with more than O(N) edges (by taking M as √N). There are at most √N such queries.
- To build the graph we have to consider two cases:
 - 1. For each query of size M greater than \sqrt{N} , go through all edges and check, whether they are in the given set. # of checked edges: $\frac{N}{M}N < \frac{N}{\sqrt{N}}N < N\sqrt{N}$
 - 2. For each query of size M lesser/equal than \sqrt{N} , check for all pairs of vertices, whether there is an edge connecting them. # of checked edges: $\frac{N}{M}M^2 = NM \le N\sqrt{N}$
- The checking step can be done (for example) with O(log N) overhead if we use some standard set implementation.

Whenever the graph is built, the number of components is easily obtained in linear time by a couple of DFS calls.

- Whenever the graph is built, the number of components is easily obtained in linear time by a couple of DFS calls.
- Complexity is $\mathcal{O}(N\sqrt{N}\log N)$
▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.

- ▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.
- Lets Divide and Conquer the array by *maximum*.
- This could be efficiently done by some data structure: For example Segment Tree or Sparse Table.
- Now we can proccess all subarrays and multiply them by the maximum.

- ▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.
- Lets Divide and Conquer the array by *maximum*.
- This could be efficiently done by some data structure: For example Segment Tree or Sparse Table.
- Now we can proccess all subarrays and multiply them by the maximum.
- Now we have to find all blocks with the same GCD to left and to right: Then we can count the answer from all combinations.

- ▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.
- Lets Divide and Conquer the array by maximum.
- This could be efficiently done by some data structure: For example Segment Tree or Sparse Table.
- Now we can proccess all subarrays and multiply them by the maximum.
- Now we have to find all blocks with the same GCD to left and to right: Then we can count the answer from all combinations.
- Observation: There are at most $\mathcal{O}(\log MAX)$ such blocks.

- ▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.
- Lets Divide and Conquer the array by *maximum*.
- This could be efficiently done by some data structure: For example Segment Tree or Sparse Table.
- Now we can proccess all subarrays and multiply them by the maximum.
- Now we have to find all blocks with the same GCD to left and to right: Then we can count the answer from all combinations.
- Observation: There are at most $\mathcal{O}(\log MAX)$ such blocks.
- There are multiple methods to find the blocks for example:
 - Combination of previously stated Data Structure and Binary search.
 - Factorisation and searching for next.

- ▶ Task: Sum the products of *GCD* and *max* for each subarray.
- Lets Divide and Conquer the array by maximum.
- This could be efficiently done by some data structure: For example Segment Tree or Sparse Table.
- Now we can proccess all subarrays and multiply them by the maximum.
- Now we have to find all blocks with the same GCD to left and to right: Then we can count the answer from all combinations.
- Observation: There are at most $\mathcal{O}(\log MAX)$ such blocks.
- There are multiple methods to find the blocks for example:
 - Combination of previously stated Data Structure and Binary search.
 - Factorisation and searching for next.
- Complexity is $\mathcal{O}(N \log^3 MAX)$

Screamers in the Storm [by Emerald Sun]

Screamers in the Storm [by Emerald Sun]

Thank you for your attention!